

Stakeholder Comments on Draft Memorandum of Agreement and VDOT Responses

Stakeholder	Stakeholder Comment	VDOT Response
Mrs. Megan Lecky	<p>1. I am not in favor of painting the bridge. I prefer to let it weather and patina naturally.</p> <p>2. For whatever it is worth, I prefer a simple historical marker (example below) rather than the interpretive sign with illustration as suggested in the Section C.2. of the Stipulations in the MOA. As the sign will likely be on or immediately adjacent to my property, I would prefer something as simple as possible.</p>	<p>1. The new bridge will not be painted due to constraints on the project budget and future ongoing maintenance issues.</p> <p>2. A metal gray and black historic highway marker must be approved by the DHR State Review Board. As such, there is a possibility the Board may not approve a historic highway marker for the Hamilton Road Bridge. To ensure that an interpretive sign for the bridge is installed, VDOT plans to have fabricated one similar to those used by NPS at National Parks.</p>
DHR	<p>Stipulation V.A.: The correct title is "Policy Statement Regarding Treatment of on Burial Sites, Human Remains and Funerary Objects" for the ACHP guidance document.</p>	VDOT made the correction in the final draft.